

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
ΑI	RS ID#: 0251173 DATE: <u>6/28/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:10 AM</u> DEPART	: <u>11:35 AM</u>		
FA	ACILITY NAME: ENTEGRA ROOF TILE CORP MIAMI			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION: 9845 NW 117 way			
	MEDLEY 33178			
CC	WNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JAIRO SINCLAIR Email: ONTACT NAME: Email: NTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/15/2006 / 9/14/2011 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)863-00 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: Mobile:	129		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PA	ART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(alal-F7)		
	Name(s) of facility representative(s):	(check ✓ only one box for each question)		
	Brief Notes:			
	Is the Authorized Representative still JAIRO SINCLAIR?	☐ Yes ☐No		
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?			
	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section 1 -White Cement Silo 1 subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
2.	Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
	DELL DIELD ODGEDVATIONS D. L. (2.20/ 414/2) D. L. G.				
Uno	PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. (check ✓ only one box for each question) Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards				
	 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 				
;	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes	□ No		
	control emissions?3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	Yes	☐ No		
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No		
	particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No		
1	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No		
:	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No		

Emissions Unit Section 2 -Gray Northern Silo 1 subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		 No No No No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each o	question)		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 				
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Yes	□ No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	∐ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	; <u>—</u>	☐ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No		

Emissions Unit Section 3 -Gray silo 2 subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each c	
1	Date of last inspection:		-
	Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Yes	☐ No
	If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Tyes	☐ No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\] N/A	Yes	☐ No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		ļ
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
T I w		box for each o	•
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Donveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi	ned	
	emissions by:		
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		_
	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes	☐ No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	□ 3 7	□ N.
	particulate matter?	Yes	∐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	☐ No
	h. Use of array her shute, or partial analogues to mitigate amissions at the drop point to the truck?	□ Vos	□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	<u> 1es</u>	
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	=
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔲 168	□ No
2.	 a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 -White Silo 2 subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ✓ box for each of	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	ifined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the stock piles and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes	☐ No
control emissions?		☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment o particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr		<u>: < 1.0</u>	0?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?			☐ No
<u>G</u> I	ENERAL CONDITIONS			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
2	devices? Does the owner or operator:	- 📙	Yes	∐ No
۷.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary an concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only station</i>)		•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Pro-	_	□ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to char b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form	nging location? Yes	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days follow. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [1]	DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business da 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction		∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separ a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, the If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was	rate permit: re is no repeated usage)? Yes	□ No
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		∐ No □ No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ or box for each qu	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility o associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative ch 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the chang New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ity or any emissions units or ange at the facility? Yes e? Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially diffed. A change in ownership?	erent? Yes	 No No No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and 30 days prior to the change?		□ No
FRANK DELGADO	6/28/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of	Inspection	
Inspector's Signature Approx	imate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS CLOSED/ OUT OF BUSINESS. GEM PAVERS HAS TAKEN OVER THE PROPERTY AND IS USING IT AS STORAGE AT THIS TIME. THE ROOF TILE MANUFACTURING PLANT IS ON SITE BUT SHUT DOWN.